Case 2:18-cv-08311-ODW-AS Document 12 Filed 05/24/19 Page 1 of 7 Page ID #:264

1	NICOLA T. HANNA				
2	United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS				
3	Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division				
4	DAVID K. BARRETT Assistant United States Attorney				
5	Chief, Civil Fraud Section FRANK D. KORTUM				
6	Assistant United States Attorney California State Bar No. 110984				
7	Room 7516, Federal Building 300 North Los Angeles Street				
8	Los Angeles, California 90012 Tel: (213) 894-6841; Fax: (213) 894-7819				
9	E-mail: frank.kortum@usdoj.gov				
	Attorneys for the United States of America				
10	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT			
11	FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
12	WESTERN DIVISION				
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex	No. CV 18-08311-PA-ASx			
14	rel. IONM LLC, a Delaware corporation; STATE OF CALIFORNIA ex rel. IONM	STIPULATION REQUESTING			
15	LLC, a Delaware corporation, and LOS ANGELES COUNTY ex rel. IONM	EXTENSION OF SEAL AND UNITED STATES' AND STATE OF			
16	LLC, a Delaware corporation,	CALIFORNIA'S ELECTION PERIOD			
17	Plaintiffs,	IFILED UNDER SEAL PURSUANT TO THE FALSE CLAIMS ACT, 31 U.S.C.			
18	V.	§§ 3730(b)(2) AND (3)]			
19	UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN	[FILED/LODGED CONCURRENTLY			
20	CALIFORNIA, a California corporation,	UNDER SEAL: (1) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN			
21	Defendant.	SUPPORT OF STIPULATION; DECLARATION OF FRANK D.			
22		KORTUM IN SUPPORT THEREOF; (2) [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING			
23		SEAL AND UNITED STATES' AND STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S ELECTION			
24		PERIOD1			
25					
26					
27					
28					

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among qui tam plaintiff 1 IONM LLC ("Relator"), the United States of America ("United States"), and the State of 2 California ("California"), by their respective attorneys of record and subject to the 3 4 approval of the Court, that: (1) the United States and California shall have an additional six months, to and 5 including December 30, 2019, within which to file their respective notices of election 6 regarding intervention in this action pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(4), Cal. 7 Government Code § 12652(c)(6), and California Insurance Code sections 1871.7(e)(2) 8 9 and (e)(3); and 10 (2) pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3730(b)(3), the Complaint and all other papers filed or 11 lodged in this action shall remain sealed, to and including December 30, 2019. 12 The reasons for this request are set forth in the Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Declaration filed concurrently herewith.¹ 13 14 Respectfully submitted, 15 16 GOTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP Dated: May 21, 2019 17 18 JUSTINA. BERGER 19 20 Attorneys for Relator 21 22 23 24 25 26 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(a) does not require this Stipulation, the [Proposed] Order lodged herewith, or the Supporting Memorandum and Declaration filed herewith to be served upon the Relator or the State. 27

1	Dated:	May <u>21</u> , 2019	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE FRAUD LIASON BUREAU
2			
3			MITCHELL NEUMEISTER
4			Attorneys for the State of California
5			Attorneys for the state of Camornia
6			
7 8	Dated:	May, 2019	CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL BUREAU OF MEDI-CAL FRAUD AND ELDER ABUSE
9			[See next prise]
10			JOHN FISHER
11			Attorneys for the State of California
12		_	
13	Dated:	May <u>27</u> . 2019	NICOL A T. MANDIA
14			NICOLA T. HANNA United States Attorney DAVID M. HARRIS
15			Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Division
16			DAVÍD K. BARRETT
17			Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Civil Fraud Section
18			Bull both
19			FRANK D. KORTUM
20	-		Assistant United States Attorney
21			Attorneys for the United States of America
22			United States of America
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
- 1	i		

	4	
1	Dated: May <u>23</u> , 2019	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE FRAUD LIASON BUREAU
2		_
3		[See yourous page]
4		MITCHELL NEUMEISTER
5		Attorneys for the State of California
6		
7		CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY GENERAL BUREAU OF MEDI-CAL FRAUD AND
		ELDER ABUSE
8		///o//(1 i)
9		JOHN FISHER
10		JOHN FISHER
11		Attorneys for the State of California
12		
13	Dated: May 23, 2019	NICOLA T. HANNA
14		United States Attorney
		DAVID M. HARRIS
15	,	Assistant United States Attorney
16		Chief, Civil Division DAVID K. BARRETT
17		Assistant United States Attorney
		Chief, Civil Fraud Section
18		$C / V \neq$
19		The tab
20		FRANK D. KORTUM
21		Assistant United States Attorney
22		Attorneys for the
23		United States of America
24	·	
2 4 25	·	
25 26		
1	,	
27		
28 l		·

DECLARATION RE: LACK OF NECESSITY FOR PROOF OF SERVICE

- I, Frank D. Kortum, declare:
- 1. I am the Assistant United States Attorney who has been assigned responsibility for handling the above-captioned action. I am a member of the Bar of the State of California, and I have been duly admitted to appear before this Court. The following is based on my personal knowledge.
- 2. I have examined Federal Rule of Civil Procedure ("Rule") 5(a), which provides as follows:
 - (a) Service: When Required.
 - (1) In General. Unless these rules provide otherwise, each of the following papers must be served on every party:
 - (A) an order stating that service is required;
 - (B) a pleading filed after the original complaint, unless the court orders otherwise under Rule 5(c) because there are numerous defendants;
 - (C) a discovery paper required to be served on a party, unless the court orders otherwise;
 - (D) a written motion, except one that may be heard ex parte; and(E) a written notice, appearance, demand, or offer of judgment, or any similar paper.
 - (2) If a Party Fails to Appear. No service is required on a party who is in default for failing to appear. But a pleading that asserts a new claim for relief against such a party must be served on that party under Rule 4.
 - (3) Seizing Property. If an action is begun by seizing property and no person is or need be named as a defendant, any service required before the filing of an appearance, answer, or claim must be made on the person who had custody or possession of the property when it was seized.

The list of documents set forth in Rule 5(a)(1) does not include the document to which this Declaration is attached. The said document also is not a pleading that asserts "a new claim for relief" against any "party who is in default for failing to appear." (Rule 5(a)(2).) Nor was the above-captioned action "begun by seizing property." (Rule 5(a)(3).) Therefore, I believe that Rule 5(a) does not require the document to which this Declaration is attached to be served upon any party that has appeared in the above-captioned action.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 27, 2019, at Los Angeles, California.